**Response to the reviewers**

Dear/ editor

Thanks for your kind reviewing of our work.

Here, I will answers the Reviewers comments;

**Reviewer1: ( biostatistician)**
Please add the result of the normality test.

BMI= Shapiro-Wilk test: 0.958, p=0.034 NOT NORMALLY Distribution

Hb= Shapiro-Wilk test 0.979 p=0.369 NORMALLY Distribution

Please correct multivariate regression. (It is done)
Please give point-to-point responses to all comments sent.

Comment1-6 showed on the tables

Comment 7 Variables were expressed as numbers and percentages and compared using Chi-square X2 test

Reviewer 2:
Re-writing, with focusing on the methodology, results and language were applied
all comments were done by yellow height
Reviewer 3:
As regards the study design, I think IRB members should be consulted.I think the study design needs revision.

The study design rewritten and cleared, I hope to find it more suitable now.

A meticulous investigation for exclusion criteria should be mentioned. It is done

Also, some results are conflicting (parameters of compensation significantly differ between groups while CHILD does not) it is corrected

 (tumor character did differ or needs more clarifications while radiofrequency significantly differs despite its dependence on tumor character ). The tumor characterizes is divided according to Milan criteria it was within or not, because of difficulty of mention it in details statistically.

Radiofrequency is significantly more in 2nd group, I think to many factors more compensating liver in this group, individual variation, the compliance of the patients and the preferred technique from the operators.

The results in the discussion, mainly the conclusion went into false assumptions. The conclusion rewrittened

Reviewer 4:
Need marked English editing. It has many language problems

English editing was applied

2-All abbreviations must be written first as the whole word in the paper. Many appreciations are not known

Abbreviations were detailed
3-All references need to be reviewed and registered in the same way. It is done